

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/686,626	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SATCHELL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> STEVEN R. GARLAND	<b>Art Unit</b> 2121	

**All Participants:**

(1) STEVEN R. GARLAND.

(2) Mr. Pavelko.

**Date of Interview:** 12 February 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** morning

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*1,8*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*the examiner proposed to make certain changes to the claims to place the application in better form for allowance. Mr. Pavelko agreed to the proposed changes set forth in the attached examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Steven R Garland/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2121

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)